



Practitioner's Docket No. 38600.0003

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARKS OFFICE

In re application of:

Serial No.: 08 / 682,859

Group No. 2309

Filed: July 12, 1996

Examiner: Robert Downs

For: TURING COMPLETE COMPUTER IMPLEMENTED MACHINE LEARNING
METHOD AND SYSTEM

Assistant Commissioner for Patents
Washington, D.C. 20231
ATTENTION: GROUP DIRECTOR

TRANSMITTAL OF INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT AFTER
MAILING DATE OF FINAL ACTION OR NOTICE OF ALLOWANCE
BUT BEFORE PAYMENT OF ISSUE FEE (37 C.F.R. 1.97(d))

NOTE: An information disclosure statement shall be considered by the Office if filed after the mailing date of either (1) a final action under § 1.113 or (2) a notice of allowance under § 1.311, whichever occurs first, but before payment of the issue fee, provided the statement is accompanied by: (i) a certification as specified in paragraph (e) of section 1.97, (ii) a petition requesting consideration of the information disclosure statement, and (iii) the petition fee set forth in § 1.17(i).

NOTE: If the information disclosure statement that contains the items required by 37 C.F.R. 1.97(d) is filed before, or simultaneously with, the payment of the issue fee, then it will be considered. See Notice of April 20, 1992 (1138 O.G. 37-41, 40) and 37 C.F.R. 1.97(d).

TIME OF TRANSMITTAL OF ACCOMPANYING
INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

1. The information disclosure statement transmitted herewith is being filed after a final action under § 1.113, or a notice of allowance under § 1.311, whichever occurs first, but before, or simultaneously with, the payment of the issue fee.

WARNING: "A petition for suspension of action to allow applicant time to submit an information disclosure statement will be denied as failing to present good and sufficient reasons, since 37 C.F.R. 1.97 provides adequate recourse for the timely submission of prior art for consideration by the examiner." Notice of July 6, 1992 (1141 O.G. 63).

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING/TRANSMISSION (37 C.F.R. 1.8(a))

I hereby certify that this correspondence is, on the date shown below, being:

MAILING

FACSIMILE

☒ deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to the Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231.

☐ transmitted by facsimile to the Patent and Trademark Office.

04/07/1998 DTHOMAS 00000038 08682859
01 FC:122 130.00 DP

Date: March 24, 1998

David G. Alexander
Signature

David G. Alexander, Reg. No. 28,176
(type or print name of person certifying)

(Transmittal of Information Disclosure Statement after Mailing Date of Final Action or Notice of Allowance But before Payment of Issue Fee [6-5]—page 1 of 2)

RECEIVED
APR -9 98
GROUP 2600



CERTIFICATION, PETITION AND FEE

In accordance with the requirements of 37 C.F.R. 1.97(d):

- A. Accompanying this transmittal is a certification, as specified in 37 C.F.R. 1.97(e).
- B. Applicant hereby petitions for the consideration of the accompanying information disclosure statement. 37 C.F.R. 1.97(d)(2).
- C. Applicant submits the petition fee set forth in § 1.17(i) (\$130.00).

NOTE: "The petition should be directed to the Group Director of the examining group handling the application. The petition need do nothing more than request consideration of the information being submitted." Notice of April 20, 1992 (1138 O.G. 37-41, 40).

FEE DUE

3. Petition fee due (§ 1.17(i)): \$130.00

METHOD OF PAYMENT OF FEE

4.

- ☒ Attached is a check for \$130.00.
- ☐ Charge Account _____ \$130.00.

A duplicate of this petition is attached.

If any additional petition fees are due, please charge Account _____.

David G. Alexander
SIGNATURE OF PRACTITIONER

Reg. No. 28,176

David G. Alexander

Tel. No. (213) 627-2000

(type or print name of practitioner)

BRONSON, BRONSON & McKINNON LLP
444 S. Flower St., 24th Fl.

Customer No.:

P.O. Address

Los Angeles, CA 90071



Practitioner's Docket No. 38600.0003

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Re application of: Peter Nordin, et al.

Group No. 2309

Serial No.: 08/682,859

Examiner: Robert Downs

Filed: July 12, 1996

For: TURING COMPLETE COMPUTER IMPLEMENTED MACHINE LEARNING
METHOD AND SYSTEM

Assistant Commissioner for Patents
Washington, D.C. 20231

CERTIFICATION FOR INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT
(37 C.F.R. 1.97(e))

NOTE: A certification must state either: "(1) that each item of information contained in the information disclosure statement was cited in a communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application not more than three months prior to the filing of the statement, or (2) that no item of information contained in the information disclosure statement was cited in a communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application or, to the knowledge of the person signing the certificate after making reasonable inquiry, was known to any individual designated in § 1.56(c) more than three months prior to the filing of the statement." 37 C.F.R. 1.97(e).

NOTE: "Section 1.97(e) makes it clear that a certification could contain either of two statements. One statement is that each item of information in an information disclosure statement was cited in a search report from a patent office outside the U.S. not more than three months prior to the filing date of the statement. Under this certification, it would not matter whether any individual with a duty actually knew about any of the information cited before receiving the search report. In the alternative, the certification could state that no item of information contained in the information disclosure statement was cited in a communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application or, to the knowledge of the person signing the certification after making reasonable inquiry, was known to any individual having a duty to disclose more than three months prior to the filing of the statement." Notice of January 9, 1992, 1135 O.G. 13-25, at 13. (emphasis added). Thus: "If an item of information is submitted within three months of being cited in a communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application, the certification can be properly made regardless of any individual's previous knowledge of the information." Id., 1135 O.G. at 19.

NOTE: "The certification can be based on present, good faith knowledge about when information became known without a search of files being made." Thus, for example, the certification of § 1.97(e) does not preclude the use of the certification in an application by corporations whose practitioners have over the years reviewed thousands of patents and technical publications, even though they are unaware of the relevance of any one thereof to the application. Notice of January 9, 1992, 1135 O.G. 13-15, at 19.

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING/TRANSMISSION (37 C.F.R. 1.8(a))

I hereby certify that this correspondence is, on the date shown below, being:

MAILING

☒ deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to the Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231.

Date: March 24, 1998

FACSIMILE

☐ transmitted by facsimile to the Patent and Trademark Office.

Signature

David G. Alexander
David G. Alexander, Reg. No. 28,176
(type or print name of person certifying)

(Certification for Information Disclosure Statement (37 C.F.R. 1.97(e)) [6-8]—page 1 of 4)

RECEIVED
APR -9 98
GROUP 2600



NOTE: A copy of the foreign search report need not be submitted with the certification. Notice of April 20, 1992 (1138 O.G. 37-41, 40).

NOTE: "The phrase 'after making reasonable inquiry' makes it clear that the individual making the certification has a duty to make reasonable inquiry regarding the facts that are being certified. The certification can be made by a registered practitioner who represents a foreign client and who relies on statements made by the foreign client as to the date the information first became known. A registered practitioner who receives information from a client without being informed whether the information was known for more than three months, however, cannot make the certification without making reasonable inquiry. For example, if an inventor gave a publication to the attorney prosecuting an application with the intent that it be cited to the Office, the attorney should inquire as to when that inventor became aware of the publication and should not submit a certification under 37 C.F.R. 1.97(e)(2) to the Office until a satisfactory response is received. The certification can be based on present, good faith knowledge about when information became known without a search of files being made." Notice of April 20, 1992 (1138 O.G. 37-41, 39).

NOTE: "Although it is recognized that an individual actually becomes aware of the information in the communication from a foreign patent office sometime after it was mailed, the mailing date of such a communication, if it occurs prior to a first awareness of the same information, would determine the date for filing of an information disclosure statement without a fee" in a certification procedure under § 1.97(e). Notice of January 9, 1992, 1135 O.G. 13-25, at 19 (emphasis added).

NOTE: "The certification under § 1.97(e) should be made by a person who has knowledge of the facts being certified. The certification can be made by a practitioner who represents a foreign client and who relies on statements made by the foreign client as to the date the information first became known. A practitioner who receives information from a client without being informed whether the information was known for more than three months, however, cannot make the certification without making reasonable inquiry." Notice of January 9, 1992, 1135 O.G. 13-25 at 19.

NOTE: "The term counterpart foreign patent application means that a claim for priority has been made in either the U.S. application or a foreign application based on the other, or that the disclosures of the U.S. and foreign patent applications are substantively identical (e.g., an application filed in the European Patent Office claiming the same U.K. priority as claimed in the U.S. application)." Notice of April 20, 1992 (1138 O.G. 37-41, 39).

NOTE: "Individuals other than the attorney, agent or inventor may comply with this section by disclosing information to the attorney, agent or inventor." 37 C.F.R. 1.56(d) and

"Individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application within the meaning of this section are:

(1) each inventor named in the application;

(2) each attorney or agent who prepares or prosecutes the application; and

(3) every other person who is substantively involved in the preparation or prosecution of the application and who is associated with the inventor, with the assignee or with anyone to whom there is an obligation to assign the application." 37 C.F.R. 1.56(c).

IDENTIFICATION OF INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT FOR WHICH THIS CERTIFICATION IS BEING MADE

1. This certification is being made for the Information Disclosure Statement

☒ accompanying this certification.

☐ filed _____
Date

CERTIFICATION



I, the person(s) signing below certify

(check appropriate item)

- ☒ that each item of information contained in the information disclosure statement was cited in a communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application not more than three months prior to the filing of the statement. 37 C.F.R. 1.97(e)(1).

NOTE: The three month period starts from the mailing date of the foreign patent office communication. Notice of January 9, 1992, 1135 O.G. 13-25 at 19. The mailing date is the "date on the communication by the foreign patent office." Notice of April 20, 1992 (1138 O.G. 37-41, 39).

OR

- ☐ that no item of information contained in the information disclosure statement was cited in a communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application or to the knowledge of the person signing the certification after making reasonable inquiry, was known to any individual designated in § 1.56(c) more than three months prior to the filing of the statement. 37 C.F.R. 1.97(e)(2).

NOTE: "The time at which information 'was known to any individual designated in 37 C.F.R. 1.56(c)' is the time when the information was discovered in association with the application even if awareness of the materiality came later." Notice of April 20, 1992 (1138 O.G. 37-41, 40).

IDENTIFICATION OF PERSON(S) MAKING THIS CERTIFICATION

3. The person making this certification is

(check each applicable item)

- (a) ☐ the inventor(s) who signs below

SIGNATURE OF INVENTOR

(type name of inventor who is signing)

- (b) ☐ a person who is substantively involved in the preparation or prosecution of the application, and who is associated with the inventor, with the assignee, or with anyone to whom there is an obligation to assign the application (37 C.F.R. 1.56(c)) and who signs below.

SIGNATURE OF PERSON MAKING CERTIFICATION

(type name of person who is signing)

Address of person who is signing



(c) ☒ the practitioner who signs below on the basis of the information:

(check each applicable item)

- ☐ supplied by the inventor(s).
- ☐ supplied by an individual designated in § 1.56(c).
- ☒ in the practitioner's file.

NOTE: "Certification need not be in the form of an oath or a declaration under 37 C.F.R. 1.68. Certification by a registered practitioner or any other individual that the statement was filed within the three-month period of either first citation by a foreign patent office or first discovery of the information will be accepted as dispositive of compliance with this provision in the absence of evidence to the contrary." . . . "A statement on information and belief would not be sufficient." Notice of April 20, 1992 (1138 O.G. 37-41, 39-40).

Reg. No.: 28,176

Tel. No. (213) 627-2000

Customer No.:

SIGNATURE OF PRACTITIONER

David G. Alexander

(type or print name of practitioner)

BRONSON, BRONSON & MCKINNON LLP

P.O. Address

444 S. Flower St., 24th Fl.

Los Angeles, CA 90071